

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United states Patent and Frademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BO. 1450 Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,100	07/08/2003	David P. Cook	10664-130002	1883
26181 7590 12/22/2006 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, MINH DIEU T	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31.5	NAVC	12/22/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/616,100	COOK, DAVID P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Dieu Nguyen	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailling date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	ily 2003					
·	action is non-final.					
, _	'-					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12,75-94 and 97-140</u> is/are pending	in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-11,13-74,95 and 96</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		`				
8) Claim(s) 1,12,75-94 and 97-140 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Olamin(s) 1,12,10-34 and 37-140 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03, 8/23/04, 7/5/05.	6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/24/03, 8/23/04 and 7/505 have been placed in the application file. Item "AP" filed on 8/23/04 is not provided, however the examiner has considered it, by retrieving it through the office database, as well as others items listed.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 and 12, drawn to a method for forwarding a secure message,
 classified in class 726, subclass 1.
 - II. Claims 93-94 and 97-107, drawn to a system, method and computer program product for sending a secure message to multiple recipients, classified in class 713, subclass 189.
 - III. Claims 75-92 and 108-140, drawn to a system, method and computer program product for sending a secure message, classified in class 713, subclass 150.

Invention III contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: claims 75-77, 81-83, 87-89, 109, 113, 120, 129 and 132.

Species 2: claims 78-80, 84-86, 90-92, 114, 121, 130 and 133.

Art Unit: 2137

The species are independent or distinct because species 1 has separate utility such as determining if the recipient has a published key and species 2 has separate utility such as determining if a certificate is associated with the recipient. They are not obvious variants and they have a materially different design, mode of operation or function.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 108, 110-112, 115-119, 122-128, 131, 134-140 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2137

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 4

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as encrypting a message, sending the encrypted message to a forwarding server, including providing a list of recipients to the server, at the server, decrypting the message, determining a delivery preference for each recipient, re-encrypting the message and delivering the message in accordance with the delivery preference. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as determine if the recipient has a published key or determining if a certificate is associated with the recipient or determining a recipient's decryption capability. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as encrypting a message, sending the encrypted message to a forwarding server, including

providing a list of recipients to the server, at the server, decrypting the message, determining a delivery preference for each recipient, re-encrypting the message and delivering the message in accordance with the delivery preference. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2137

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dieu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3873.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2137

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mdn

12/12/06